(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 2634 OF 2003

PETITIONER

Priyanka Bhagat, aged about 21 years, D/o Devsharan Bhagat, resident of Ward No. 3, Rambhata, Raigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Health, Family Welfare and Medical Education, D. K. S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Medical Education, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Dean, Medical College, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Miss Sarika Goyal, Candidate for 1st year MBBS Course, C/o Dean, Medical College, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Board of Secondary Education, through its Secretary, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R No. 9531/03
Presented by Shri V. R. Tiwari
dated 30.06.03

शपथ आयुक्त
एम.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
2/10/03
बिलासपुर तहसील

RECEIVED COPY
27/8/03
TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(33)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP. 2684/03 सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक और आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

29.8.2003:

Mr. Prashant Mishra learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay K. Agrawal learned Dy. AG takes notice for the respondents 1 to 3.

Heard.

The main grievance of the petitioner in this petition is that the respondents have published the list of the candidates of various categories and according to that list respondent No.4 is being considered in the S.T. (Women) quota whereas the respondent No.4 in fact is not a S.T candidate.

Counsel for the respondents 1 to 3 submits that at the time of counseling the testimonials and other certificates of all the candidates will be scrutinized and if on scrutiny of the documents it will be found that respondent No.4 is not a candidate belonging to ST (women) quota then the respondents 1 to 3 will not give admission to respondent No.4.

In view of the above submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 3, I do not find any substance in the point raised by the counsel for the petitioner that the respondents 1 to 3 are going to admit

(34)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 2634/03 —2— सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	respondent No.4 against the S.T. (Women) quota in spite of the fact that she does not belong to S.T.category Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with an observation that respondents 1 to 3 will consider the case of respondent No.4 against the ST (women) quota only in consonance with rule 6 of the Chhatisgarh Medical and Dental Graduate Entrance Examination Rules, 2002. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	